A NOVEL Socio-Ecological Approach

for assessing the impacts of pastoralism on floral diversity



MOUSTAPHA ITANI

4th Mediterranean Plant Conservation Week October 24, 2023



LEBANON AND PASTORALISM

Lebanon, a small geopolitically unstable country In economic freefall

Under threat of food insecurity

Pastoralism, a local food source

Under-studied

Considered a threat to green cover & plant diversity although did not lead to extinctions

IN ECOLOGICAL ASSESSMENTS, PASTORALISM

Frequently reduced to grazing intensity



Its governance (PG) often neglected







HYPOTHESIS

In similar environments, patches with different PG systems may have different floral characteristics.

PREDICTION:

PG systems with clumped pastoral nodes or overlapping pastures



Lower floristic richness

Examples of pastoral nodes (waterholes and encampments)

RATIONAL OF METHODOLOGY





THE SANNINE-KNEISSEH IMPORTANT PLANT AREA

Cedar of Lebanon introduction attempts in fenced zones Sparse stands of Greek Juniper naturally occur in wind shadows marking the treeline



Tragacanth vegetation dominates highest elevation (target vegetation)

General vegetation distribution profile from lower elevation (left) to higher (right)

CHARACTERIZING PASTORAL GOVERNANCE

Rapid survey based on preceding ethnographic study

SURVEY QUESTION

CORRESPONDING PG SYSTEM

Are there brokers that facilitate access of shepherds to pasture for profit?

Do landowners rent out their plots to shepherds?

Do the shepherds claim historic rights to lands which they access without having to pay anything to anyone?

Do these different forms of PG systems have different overall impacts on floral diversity?

Customary: access to parcels in plots governed by broker (pastures separated; clumped nodes)

Landlordships: Specific parcels rented out or offered by landlords (pastures separated; nodes apart)

Rabh'hum: Right of access contested by local shepherds regardless of ownership (overlapping pastures; clumped nodes)



MAP OF PRIMARY PG SYSTEMS AT CADASTRAL LEVEL





PLANT DATA Collection

Field realities and implications on classification



- 140 homogeneous plots (up to 25m radius circles) provided 3299 records belonging to 362 taxa and 56 families
- Abundance measures unreliable as herbivory is high and asymmetrical
- Classification methods that use abundance measures such as TWINSPAN may not be reliable to produce consistent groupings

PLANT DATA CLASSIFICATION

Diffval package

- Grouping vegetation plots aiming at a high turnover of exclusive species
- Optimization procedure³, searching for (dense) blocks of species, exclusive to one or more groups
- We explored different number of groups (from 2 to ? groups)
- We performed 1500 optimization runs and kept all found solutions for analysis

Plots in columns



Rearranged columns in 3 groups

DIFFVAL Select Classification

- Diffval classification for high altitude vegetation followed clear environmental gradients
- Asymmetries observed in terms of floristic richness





Note: 13 plot(s) without group-exclusive taxa are represented in cyan

Asymmetric floristic richness



IMPACT OF PG SYSTEM ON FLORAL RICHNESS







PG SYSTEMS AND ENVIRONMENTAL PARAMETERS TOGETHER HAVE DIFFERENT OVERALL EFFECTS ON PLANT RICHNESS

Landlordship

>> Rabh'hum

;

Customary

> Rabh'hum

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

moustapha.itani@helsinki.fi

Contributors to this work

Hicham El Zein, Salma N. Talhouk, Sylvain Perdigon, Nivine Nasralla, Aya Jamaleddine, Ramzi Al Malti, Mohammad S. Al Zein, Pablo Manzano, Mar Cabeza, Tiago Monteiro-Henriques









GCCC Conservation





